

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/555,409 | | 11/03/2005 | Kazuhiro Ichimoto | 125881 | 9387 |
| 25944 | 7590 | 10/12/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| OLIFF & | | GE, PLC | TRAN, DIEM T | | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | | 3748 | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 10/12/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/555,409 | ICHIMOTO ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit . | | | | | |
| | Diem Tran | 3748 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6,7 and 10-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(c) | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/555,409

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed on 11/3/05 has been entered. In this amendment, claims 1-7 have been amended and claims 8-14 have been added. Overall, claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawai (JP 10-280990).

Regarding claims 1, 2, Kawai discloses a device for restraining the deterioration of a catalytic apparatus of an internal combustion engine characterized in that, when the temperature of the catalytic apparatus arranged in the engine exhaust system is higher than a predetermined temperature in a vehicle deceleration, a fuel-cut of the engine is prohibited and a first motor-generator connected with the vehicle drive shaft is operated as a generator to charge an electrical accumulator (see translation, abstract, page 4, par. [0023, 0025], page 5, par. [0028, 0031]).

Regarding claims 3, 8, Kawai further discloses that a down-shift of an automatic transmission elevates the engine speed when said fuel-cut is prohibited (see translation, page 7, par. [0040]).

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai (JP 10-280990) in view of Urasawa et al. (US patent 6,569,055).

Regarding claims 4, 9, Kawai discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above however, fails to disclose that a second motor-generator connected with the output shaft of the engine is operated as a motor to elevate the engine speed when said fuel-cut is prohibited.

Urasawa teaches that a second motor-generator connected with the output shaft of the engine is operated as a motor to elevate the engine speed when said fuel-cut is prohibited (see col. 7, lines 40-47, 59-67, col. 8, lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching of Urasawa in the Kawai system, since the use thereof would have maintained desired drivability of the vehicle.

Regarding claim 5, Urasawa further teaches that said second motor generator uses the electrical energy stored in said electricity accumulator (see col. 2, lines 60-62)

Application/Control Number: 10/555,409

Art Unit: 3748 .

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 7, 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4866. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:00 a.m.- 5:30p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax number for this

group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 800-786-9199 (toll-free).

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Rendram

DT

THOMAS DENION
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700